## Losing Trick Count

John Williams February 28, 2017 Unit 503 Lecture
Note: most of this material is from Ron Klinger's excellent book, The Modern Losing Trick Count

Using Losing Trick Count to figure your trick taking potential:

1. Count Your Losers.
2. Estimate Partner's Losers.
3. Add these together and deduct the total from 24.

The answer is the number of tricks your partnership will probably take, assuming:

- normal breaks and half your finesses working
- at least an 8-card trump fit or a self-sufficient suit (important!)

The answer for your trick potential is estimated to be at least 80 per cent effective. Don't expect the LTC to be accurate if trumps break 5-0 or if every finesse fails. (If you always wear a belt and suspenders, maybe LTC isn't for you.)

Step 1. Count your own losers: Each suit counts 3 losers at most:
3-card or longer suit Count a loser for missing the ace, king or queen. 0 loser suits: AKQ, AKQ4 and AKQ432 each have 0 losers.
1 loser suits: AQ3, AQ32 and AQ7432 each have 1 loser.
2 loser suits: A85, A8532, K43, K863, QJx, QTx, each have 2 losers.
2 1/2 loser suits: Q93, Q87532
3 loser suits: JT9 or worse.
2-card suit Count a loser for missing the ace or king.
0 loser suit: AK
1 loser suits: Ax, KQ, Kx, AQ (special case - count as only $1 / 2$ a loser)
2 loser suits: QJ and all others with ace and king missing.
1-card suit count as 1 loser except for ace singleton which is no loser.
Void $=0$ losers
Examples:
How many losers does each of these hands have?

1. AK532 $\uparrow$ AJ73 $986 * \mathrm{~K}$ has $1+2+3+1=7$ losers
2. A 73 J 73 -KQ86 $\& \mathrm{KJT}$ has $2+3+1+2=8$ losers
3. Q Q $8432 \vee 63 \uparrow$ KQJ98 - has $2+2+1+0=5$ losers
4. 8432 YKJ3 $\uparrow$ KQJ9 87 has $3+2+1+2=8$ losers

Step 2. Estimate partner’s losers:

| Points | Losers | Typical hand |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $13-15$ | 7 | Min. opening |
| $16-18$ | 6 | Strong notrump |
| $19-21$ | $5-4$ | Jump shift |
| $22-24$ | 4 | Forcing opening |
| $7-9$ | $9-8$ | Simple raise |
| $10-12$ | 8 | Limit raise |
| $10+$ | $8-$ | Forcing response |
| $12-18$ | $7-6$ | Takeout double |
| $8-16$ | $8-6$ | Overcall |
| $6-10$ | $8-7$ | Weak jump overcall |
| $11-14$ | $7-6$ | Interm. jump overcall |
| $16+$ | $6-5$ | Reverse |
| $6-8$ | 8 | Minimum Weak 2 |
| $8-10$ | 7 | Maximum Weak 2 |
| $6-9$ | $9-10$ | 1NT response |
| $?$ | $7-6(\mathrm{vul})$ | 3 level pre-empt |
| $?$ | $6-5(\mathrm{vul})$ | 4 level pre-empt |
| $0-6$ | $\underline{10-9}$ | Preemptive raise |
| $11+$ | $7-$ | Splinter raise |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The normal expectancy for minimum openings is 13-15 points and 7 losers. As strength increases, there are more tricks so fewer losers. 16-18 points with ordinary shape will usually have 6 losers. As strength decreases, there figure to be fewer tricks. With 10-12 points and no special shape, expect 8 losers.

There are 40 HCP in the deck and 13 tricks, so roughly 3 HCPs = 1 trick. So 13-15 points = 7 losers; 16-18 = 6 losers; and so on according to the following chart relating points, expected losers, and cover cards (cards that are likely to "cover" losers in partners long suited or 2-suited hands.)

| Points | Losers <br> expected | Cover cards <br> expected |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0-6$ | $10-11$ | $0-1$ |
| $7-9$ | 9 | 2 |
| $10-12$ | 8 | 3 |
| $13-15$ | 7 | 4 |
| $16-18$ | 6 | 5 |
| $19-21$ | 5 | 6 |
| $22-24$ | 4 | 7 |
| $25-27$ | 3 | 8 |

Step 3. Add these together and deduct the total from 24.

Where does that figure of 24 come from? There are at most 3 losers in each suit, so there are at most 12 losers in your hand; the same is true for your partner's hand. That makes 24.
Why are there at most 3 losers in any suit? Consider:
^K986 83 *KJ84 \& J42
Suppose you are in a spade contract (remember LTC applies only with a trump fit.) Then the 4th is good with the probable 3-2 split, and the 4th is not a loser if partner has 4 or more or if partner has fewer than 4 and can ruff it.

Some examples:

1. (from a club Swiss game)

| you |  |  |  | partner |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - A9852 | 2 | 19 | 24 | a JT4 |  |  |
| - J2 | 2 | 49 |  | - AT863 | 2 | 2 |
| - 4 | 1 |  |  | - 8653 | 3 | 3 |
| - AKQJ9 | 0 |  |  | -2 |  | 1 |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 9 |

$24-(5+9)=10$ Bid game.
\{only $25 \%$ of EW pairs were in 4a \}

## 2. (from a club Swiss game)

| West |  |  |  | East |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & \frac{2}{7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \star \\ & 2 \star \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 11 \\ \text { Pass } \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & \frac{2}{9} \\ & \hline 9 \end{aligned}$ |

$24-(7+9)=8 \quad$ 2a is high enough. If West invites, will East accept with 11 points? \{many pairs floundered in 3 or 4 spades \}
3. A 24 point cold slam.

| you |  |  |  | partner |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a K86532 | 2 |  | 1* | - AQ74 | 1 |
| $\checkmark 4$ | 1 | 14. | $4{ }^{1}$ | $\bullet$ J8 | 2 |
| - 72 | 2 | ? |  | - AK943 | 1 |
| - 4943 | 2 |  |  | - K5 | 1 |
|  | 7 |  |  |  | 5 |
| you have 7 losers partner has $\sim 19$ points, or 5 losers $7+5=12$ <br> $24-12=12$ tricks are probable. Explore with 4NT since partner opened diamonds. |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: The lecture will contain many more examples of using LTC to determine when to bid games, stop in part scores, or explore for slam, as well as a discussion of further topics: adjustments to LTC, cover cards, and controls. http://members.shaw.ca/conventions/ltc.pdf has an excellent (39 page) condensation of Klinger’s book.

